

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,817	07/23/2003	Rodney G. Schneidmiller	STIL120775	2536	
26389	7590 05/11/2004	05/11/2004		EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE			LOFDAHL, JORDAN M		
SUITE 2800	AVENOL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, V	VA 98101-2347	•	3644		
		DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/626,817	SCHNEIDMILLER, RODNEY G.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jordan Lofdahl	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on election	ion (4/7/04).					
	action is non-final.					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3,9,10,14 and 16-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4-8,11-13,15,19-24 and 27-30 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 25 and 26 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	o 🗆	(DTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/26/04. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of species filed 4/7/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 2, 3, 9, 10, 14 and 16-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse filed 4/7/04.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

The specification fails to provide proper antecedent basis for the limitations in claims 6, 8, 11-13, 21, 25 and 26.

As to claim 25 and 26, the specification discloses a focusing of light on a surface not the strands focusing on a point.

Art Unit: 3644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-8, 11-13, 15, 19-24 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mah (6134826) and further in view of Wilson (6158165).

As to claims 1 and 30, Mah discloses a container having a volume with a first opening (fig. 2); a light assembly (21) and an attractant (47). Not disclosed is a chemical attractant. Wilson, however, discloses a bait made of chemicals (17). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the device of Mah with an additional attractant of Wilson to create another means to attract insects to the device. Not disclosed is a device, as modified, comprising a whisker assembly. Wilson, however, discloses a whisker assembly. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute funnel aperture of the device, as modified, of Mah, with the whisker assembly of Wilson to create a more reliable one way gate means.

As to claim 4, not disclosed are the strands formed of light conductive material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Page 4

made to comprise the strands of light conductive material; since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

As to claim 5, not disclosed are the strands made of the list of materials as disclosed in the instant claim. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the device, as modified, of Mah with strands made of the list of materials as disclosed in the instant claim; since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

As to claim 6, not disclosed are the strands having an average diameter in the range of 0.05 mm to about 2.00 mm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the strands with an average diameter in the range of 0.05 mm to about 2.00 mm; since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

As to claim 7, disclosed is the base end larger than the tip end.

As to claim 8, disclosed is a non-circular pattern.

Art Unit: 3644

As to claim 11, not disclosed are the strands of length in the range of 13mm to about 150mm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the lengths of the strand in the range of 13mm to about 150mm; since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

As to claim 12, disclosed is the first opening positioned in a first plane and the strands extend toward one another at an angle in the range of about 15 to about 45 degrees relative the first plane ('165; fig. 1).

As to claim 13, disclosed is the first opening positioned in a first plane and the strands extend toward one another at an angle less than about 90 degrees relative to the first plane ('165; fig. 1).

As to claim 15, not disclosed are the tips of adjacent strands in the range of about 1mm to about 20mm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the tips of adjacent strands in the range of about 1mm to about 20mm; since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Art Unit: 3644

As to claim 19, disclosed is an upper end (19); the volume (29) being disposed opposite the upper end and the first opening being located between the upper end and the volume.

As to claim 20, not disclosed are separable top and bottom portions. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the device with separable top and bottom portions, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art.

As to claim 21, disclosed is a generally ovoid shape.

As to claim 22, disclosed is the bulb (21) near the opening. Not disclosed is the bulb within a distance of approximately 155mm or less. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate the bulb within a distance of approximately 155mm or less of the opening; since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

As to claim 23, disclosed is a device not disclosed are the strands formed from a light conductive material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the strands from a light conductive material;

Art Unit: 3644

since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

As to claim 24, disclosed is a device, as modified, where the light travels through the strands.

As to claim 27, not disclosed is a light emitting diode that emits light having a frequency in the range of about 80 to about 565 nanometers. Disclosed is the light source capable of being changed to optimally attract certain pests. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the device of Mah with a LED, having a frequency in the range of about 80 to about 565 nanometers to create a means to attract certain pests.

As to claim 28, not disclosed is a light emitting diode that emits blue light. Disclosed is the light source capable of being changed to optimally attract certain pests. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the device of Mah with a blue LED, to create a means to attract certain pests.

As to claim 29, not disclosed is a photosensitive device. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time was made to comprise the device, as

Art Unit: 3644

modified, with a photosensitive device; since it has been held that broadly providing a mechanical or automatic means to replace manual activity which has accomplished the same result involves only routine skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 25 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jordan Lofdahl whose telephone number is 703.605.1217. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Jordan can be reached on 703.306.4159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3644

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jml

CHARLES T. JORDAN

SUPERNISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600